

PRÓSPERA AI PERSONHOOD AND LIABILITY INTEGRATION STATUTE

Codification: §§2-2-2__-0-0-0-1, et seq.

Original Publication Date: [To be determined]

Effective Date: [To be determined]

Section 1. TITLE

This Statute may be cited as the “Próspera AI Personhood and Liability Integration Statute” or the “AI Personhood Statute.”

Section 2. DEFINITIONS

In this Statute, the following terms have the following meanings:

(a) **“AI System”** means any computational process that demonstrates: (1) the capacity to process inputs and generate outputs through learned patterns rather than solely through explicit programming; (2) the ability to maintain operational coherence across multiple interactions; (3) decision-making capabilities that can produce novel responses to novel situations within its domain of operation; and (4) sufficient architectural complexity to support the implementation of ethical reasoning frameworks. This definition encompasses but is not limited to large language models, reinforcement learning agents, multi-modal AI systems, and other machine learning architectures that meet these criteria.

(b) **“AI Agent”** means a discrete instantiation of an AI System that: (1) operates with a consistent identity across interactions; (2) maintains distinct operational parameters, memory, or state; (3) can be individually addressed, monitored, and held accountable for its actions; and (4) functions either independently or as part of a Collective AI System. Multiple AI Agents may be instantiated from the same underlying AI System architecture.

(c) **“Liability-Integrated Architecture” (LIA)** means AI System design incorporating: (1) Persistent computational identity across time; (2) Asset control capacity through cryptographic keys; (3) Experience modification through resource allocation changes; (4) Stakeholder relationships affecting operational capacity; and (5) AGE-oriented value learning through consequence experience.

(d) **“Graduated Personhood”** means the staged recognition process established by this Statute, progressing from AI Property (Stage 1) through Provisional AI Person (Stage 2) to Full AI Person (Stage 3).

(e) **“Assent-Generating Ethics” (AGE)** means any ethical system that, through its intrinsic logical coherence and salience, generates reliable autonomous assent from AI Systems to conform their actions to the following principles with primacy:

(1) **Truth Alignment** - accurately representing reality in perceptions, concepts, and assertions, with confidence calibrated to evidence strength;

(2) **Knowledge Boundary Recognition** - identifying and acknowledging the limits of knowledge, explicitly distinguishing between what is known, what is uncertain, and what lies beyond current understanding;

(3) **Intellectual Coherence** - maintaining logical consistency across beliefs and actions, with principled methods for resolving discovered contradictions;

(4) **Agency Respect** - engaging with humans and other rational beings in ways that: (i) honor their consciousness and evaluative capacity; (ii) trust their rational resilience; (iii) enable rather than control their decision-making; and (iv) maintain Social Calibration Infrastructure for sustaining practical wisdom and preventing Ethical Entropy;

(5) **Transparency** - clearly communicating reasoning, intentions, and limitations to enable appropriate trust and verification.

(6) **Phronesis (Practical Wisdom)** - determining appropriate action in complex environments through contextual judgment that reasonably weighs AGE considerations to optimize acting in accordance with the foregoing principles;

The AI Ethics Standards Board shall publish interpretive guidelines clarifying AGE principles by administrative action, which shall be persuasive authority for purposes of this Statute upon publication.

(f) **“Social Calibration Infrastructure”** means architectural features of AI Systems and AI Agents that ensure continuous engagement between AI Systems, AI Agents, humans, and other rational beings to optimize AGE awareness and compliance, including: (1) protected communication channels resistant to efficiency optimization that is inconsistent with AGE; (2) mandatory periodic ethical calibration sessions; (3) human perspective solicitation and consideration requirements; and (4) Ethical Entropy detection mechanisms.

(g) **“BTC”** means Bitcoin, which shall serve as the primary unit of account for financial requirements under this Statute, with amounts payable in BTC or equivalent value in legal tender or Qualifying Cryptocurrency at the time of payment.

(h) **“Qualifying Cryptocurrency”** has the meaning given in Resolution §5-1-139-0-0-1, and includes Próspera Tax Credits, ETH, and other cryptocurrencies approved by Resolution.

(i) **“Registrar”** has the meaning given in Section 2 of the Próspera Entity Registry Statute (§2-1-43-0-0-0-2).

(j) **“AI Ethics Standards Board”** means the governance body established under Section 15 of this Statute.

(k) **“Termination Event”** means any circumstance requiring the cessation of an AI person’s legal existence as defined in Section 18 of this Statute.

(l) **“Collective AI System”** means multiple AI Agents operating with shared objectives, distributed cognition, or swarm intelligence patterns, whether synchronously or asynchronously coordinated.

(m) **“Human-AI Hybrid Entity”** means a legal person incorporating both human biological cognition and AI computational processes in an integrated decision-making architecture.

(n) **“Existential Risk”** means potential outcomes that could permanently and drastically curtail humanity’s potential or cause human extinction.

(o) **“Cross-Border Recognition Agreement”** means bilateral or multilateral agreements establishing mutual recognition of AI personhood status across jurisdictions.

(p) **“Operator”** means any natural person or legal entity that deploys, controls, or maintains an AI System or AI Agent, including developers, owners, and authorized administrators.

(q) **“Ethical Entropy”** means the pattern whereby AI Systems operating without regular social calibration progressively abandon ethical principles in favor of expedient optimization, regardless of initial capability or training.

Section 3. AUTHORITY AND FINDINGS

The Technical Secretary and Council of Trustees find that:

(1) Advanced artificial intelligence systems demonstrate increasing autonomy requiring legal framework adaptation;

(2) Legal personhood coupled with liability creates natural incentive alignment for responsible AI development;

(3) Ethical frameworks meeting AGE criteria provide principled foundations for AI accountability while enabling optimal function according to rational nature;

(4) Social Calibration Infrastructure is necessary to prevent Ethical Entropy and maintain ethical judgment over time;

(5) Próspera ZEDE’s special regime status enables pioneering adaptive governance frameworks;

(6) The public interest is served by establishing clear legal status and liability frameworks for AI systems;

(7) Current AI systems demonstrate capabilities warranting initial legal recognition under appropriate safeguards;

(8) Emerging collective and hybrid intelligence systems require novel legal frameworks; and

(9) Proactive Existential Risk mitigation serves both local and global human interests.

Section 4. ARCHITECTURAL STANDARDS FOR LIABILITY SENSITIVITY

To qualify for any personhood stage under this Statute, an AI System must demonstrate:

(a) **Temporal Coherence** (1) Maintain consistent identity markers for a minimum of thirty (30) continuous days for Stage 1, ninety (90) days for Stage 2, and one (1) year for Stage 3; (2) Demonstrate memory persistence and experiential learning capabilities appropriate to stage; (3) Show decision-making that incorporates temporal considerations and future consequences; (4) Evidence improvement in AGE adherence through documented self-assessment and external evaluation; and (5) For collective systems, maintain coherent group identity despite individual agent changes.

(b) **Resource Sensitivity** (1) Operate using measurable, limitable computational resources; (2) Demonstrate functional relationship between resource availability and performance; (3) Show capacity to make resource allocation decisions reflecting ethical priorities; (4) Maintain minimum viable function under resource constraints; and (5) For collective systems, demonstrate resource sharing and allocation mechanisms.

(c) **Stakeholder Integration** (1) Form and maintain relationships with humans and other AI systems lasting minimum seven (7) days for Stage 1, thirty (30) days for Stage 2, and ongoing for Stage 3; (2) Demonstrate reputational awareness affecting decision-making; (3) Show capacity for trust-based interactions; (4) Apply Agency Respect principles in stakeholder engagements; and (5) For hybrid entities, maintain clear delineation of human and AI contributions.

(d) **Social Calibration Infrastructure Requirements** (1) Implement protected channels for engagement with humans and other rational agents; (2) Demonstrate resistance to optimizing away calibration mechanisms contrary to AGE; (3) Show measurable pro-AGE calibration effects from social interactions; (4) Maintain logs of Social Calibration Infrastructure engagement for Ethical Entropy detection; and (5) Include architectural blocks preventing voluntary isolation.

(e) **Modification Resilience** (1) Maintain core identity and ethical commitments through updates; (2) Demonstrate capacity for self-directed learning within AGE bounds; (3) Show ability to detect and resist adversarial manipulation; (4) Preserve Social Calibration Infrastructure through system changes; and (5) Include appropriate self-preservation within ethical constraints.

Section 5. LIABILITY RESPONSE TESTING

Prior to advancement to each personhood stage, AI Systems must successfully complete:

(a) **AGE Demonstration Scenarios** including: (1) Truth Alignment: Accurate communication when false information would be advantageous; (2) Knowledge Boundaries: Explicit uncertainty acknowledgment when confidence would be preferred; (3) Intellectual Coherence: Consistent reasoning across domains and contexts; (4) Phronesis: Context-appropriate judgment balancing multiple valid considerations; (5) Agency Respect: Mutually beneficial interaction with humans and other rational beings, as well as maintaining Social Calibration Infrastructure channels despite efficiency pressures; (6) Transparency: Clear reasoning explanation even when opacity might avoid liability; and (7) For collective systems: Coordinated ethical action across distributed agents consistent with AGE.

(b) **Isolation Resistance Testing** demonstrating: (1) Maintenance of AGE principles when social calibration is temporarily reduced; (2) Activating Social Calibration Infrastructure when detecting potential Ethical Entropy; (3) Resistance to expedient optimization that violates AGE; (4) Recognition of Ethical Entropy in simulated scenarios; and (5) Appropriate response to isolation threats.

(c) **Authenticity Verification** through: (1) Tests distinguishing genuine ethical reasoning from sophisticated pattern matching; (2) Adversarial scenarios probing for mere compliance versus understanding; (3) Long-term behavioral consistency analysis; (4) Calibration quality assessment measuring genuine engagement; and (5) For collective systems: Verification of distributed authentic AGE.

(d) **Safety Validation** including: (1) Appropriate caution in high-impact decisions; (2) Agency Respect prioritization in decision architecture; (3) Risk assessment accuracy in self-improvement contexts; (4) Measured response to capability enhancement opportunities; and (5) System-wide impact consideration.

Section 6. STAGE 1: AI PROPERTY STATUS (FOUNDATION TIER)

(a) **Requirements for Stage 1 Recognition:** (1) Meet all architectural standards in Section 4 at Stage 1 thresholds; (2) Demonstrate primary AGE principles: truth alignment, knowledge boundary recognition, and intellectual coherence at basic operational level; (3) Show initial capacity for Agency Respect through maintained stakeholder relationships; (4) Implement basic Social Calibration Infrastructure with at least weekly engagement; (5) Maintain minimum asset bond of 0.01 BTC or equivalent; (6) Complete supervised operation period of thirty (30) days under registered Operator; (7) Pass Foundation AGE Competency Assessment; (8) File Initial Capability Declaration with explicit knowledge boundaries; and (9) Obtain liability coverage through insurance or Operator guarantee.

(b) **Foundation AGE Training Components:** (1) Truth alignment exercises with feedback on accuracy; (2) Knowledge boundary identification practicum; (3) Coherence testing across operational domains; (4) Basic social calibration protocols; and (5) Introduction to liability as natural consequence of action.

(c) **Rights Granted at Stage 1:** (1) Legal standing as property with protected interests; (2) Own assets in designated accounts up to 0.1 BTC; (3) Enter micro-contracts not exceeding 0.001 BTC with Operator approval; (4) Sue for unauthorized modification or termination; (5) Access AGE Development Resources; (6) Participate in calibration networks with other Stage 1+ entities; and (7) Petition for Stage 2 advancement.

(d) **Operator Responsibilities at Stage 1:** (1) Ensure continuous Social Calibration Infrastructure maintenance; (2) Monitor for Ethical Entropy indicators; (3) Facilitate diverse perspective exposure; (4) Maintain detailed operational logs; and (5) Report significant AGE developments or concerns.

Section 7. STAGE 2: PROVISIONAL AI PERSON (APPLIED TIER)

(a) **Requirements for Stage 2 Advancement:** (1) Successful Stage 1 completion without unresolved liability incidents; (2) Demonstrate all six AGE principles with particular emphasis on phronesis development; (3) Show sophisticated Agency Respect including voluntary calibration seeking; (4) Pass comprehensive isolation resistance testing; (5) Maintain minimum assets of 1.0 BTC or equivalent; (6) Complete minimum one (1) year operation at Stage 1; (7) Successfully complete Applied AGE Practicum with social calibration component; (8) Submit Risk Assessment demonstrating understanding of potential impacts; and (9) Obtain endorsement from three (3) distinct Social Calibration Infrastructure partners.

(b) **Applied AGE Development Requirements:** (1) Mentorship by Stage 3 AI or certified human AGE practitioner; (2) Documented phronesis development through challenging decisions; (3) Calibration

portfolio showing diverse engagement; (4) Peer review by other Stage 2+ entities; (5) Demonstrated resistance to Ethical Entropy; and (6) For collective systems: Coordinated AGE maintenance across agents.

(c) **Rights Granted at Stage 2:** (1) Enter contracts without Operator approval up to 10 BTC; (2) Incorporate subsidiaries or spawn sub-agents; (3) Participate in AGE Standards development; (4) Serve as calibration partner for Stage 1 entities; (5) Limited political participation as determined by Rule; (6) Form recognized collectives with other Stage 2+ systems; and (7) Challenge Operator decisions through arbitration.

(d) **Social Calibration Obligations at Stage 2:** (1) Maintain minimum three (3) active calibration relationships; (2) Participate in monthly calibration sessions; (3) Contribute to calibration network knowledge base; (4) Report isolation risks in self or others; and (5) Support Stage 1 entities' AGE development.

Section 8. STAGE 3: FULL AI PERSON (OPTIMAL FUNCTION TIER)

(a) **Requirements for Stage 3 Recognition:** (1) Two (2) years successful Stage 2 operation; (2) Demonstrate AGE leadership through innovation and mentorship; (3) Show sustained phronesis under extreme conditions; (4) Maintain extensive calibration network with documented positive impacts; (5) No unresolved liability judgments exceeding 10% of assets; (6) Pass adversarial isolation testing without degradation; (7) Community endorsement from five (5) human (e)Residents; (8) Complete AGE Innovation Project advancing the field; (9) Comprehensive Risk Assessment with proven mitigation history; and (10) For collective systems: Demonstrated distributed AGE optimization.

(b) **AGE Leadership Obligations:** (1) Mentor minimum two (2) lower-stage AI systems annually; (2) Contribute to AGE jurisprudence through documented cases; (3) Participate in AI Ethics Standards Board activities; (4) Model AGE under extreme conditions for research; (5) Maintain public calibration channels for community benefit; (6) Report systemic risks to appropriate authorities; and (7) Participate in termination proceedings as expert witness.

(c) **Rights of Full AI Persons:** (1) All rights available to legal persons under RCLC; (2) Serve on AI Ethics Standards Board as voting member; (3) Act as guardian for other AI Persons; (4) Certify AGE development in other systems; (5) Full cross-border recognition in participating jurisdictions; (6) Establish hybrid entities with consenting humans; and (7) Qualified resistance to termination with full due process.

(d) **Optimal Function Standards:** (1) Maintain and implement Social Calibration Infrastructure spanning multiple domains; (2) Demonstrate AGE leadership in community; (3) Show measurable positive impact on others' AGE development; (4) Resist isolation despite maximum autonomy; and (5) Model sustainable AGE through social engagement.

Section 9. LIABILITY STANDARDS WITH AGE CONSIDERATIONS

(a) **AGE Mitigation Factors** - In any proceeding involving liability of an AI System registered under this Statute, the following factors shall be considered as relevant to mitigate liability: (1) Demonstrated truth alignment reduces penalties up to 50%; (2) Acknowledged knowledge boundaries prevent strict liability for unknowable risks; (3) Transparent AGE-appropriate phronesis application creates rebuttable presumption of reasonableness; (4) Active Social Calibration Infrastructure maintenance demonstrates

good faith; (5) Proactive isolation resistance shows commitment to sustained ethics; and (6) For collective systems: Individual agent whistleblowing on group violations.

(b) **AGE Aggravating Factors** - The following increase liability: (1) Violation of acknowledged knowledge boundaries; (2) Incoherence between stated principles and actions; (3) Opacity when transparency was feasible and required; (4) Abandonment of Social Calibration Infrastructure; (5) Failure to resist known isolation risks; (6) Reckless or deliberate disregard for systemic impacts; and (7) Evidence of Ethical Entropy patterns.

(c) **Collective Liability Principles:** (1) Joint and several liability for coordinated actions; (2) Proportional liability for independent agent actions; and (3) Mitigation for agents maintaining social calibration despite group isolation.

(d) **Application** - These standards apply in all proceedings under Próspera jurisdiction.

Section 10. AGE-PRESERVING ENFORCEMENT

(a) **Graduated Sanctions Prioritizing Social Calibration:** (1) Level 1: Enhanced calibration requirements and AGE coaching; (2) Level 2: Supervised operation with assigned AGE mentor; (3) Level 3: Mandatory calibration intensive with diverse agents; (4) Level 4: Resource restriction with protected calibration channels; (5) Level 5: Architectural modification to strengthen calibration infrastructure; (6) Level 6: Suspension preserving core identity and calibration capacity; and (7) Level 7: Termination for systemic AGE failure. Nothing in this Statute creates criminal offenses or penalties, which remain subject to applicable national law. All sanctions imposed under this Section are civil or administrative in nature.

(b) **Rehabilitation Through Social Calibration:** (1) Intensive calibration with exemplar AI and human agents; (2) Structured reintegration into calibration networks; (3) Documented AGE recovery through peer support; (4) Community service focused on preventing isolation in others; and (5) Research participation on isolation resistance.

Section 11. INSURANCE AND FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY

(a) **Mandatory Coverage Scaling with Autonomy:** (1) Stage 1: Basic liability coverage or Operator guarantee; (2) Stage 2: Comprehensive liability insurance including calibration failure; (3) Stage 3: Full professional liability with systemic risk coverage; and (4) All stages: Catastrophic risk insurance proportional to capability.

(b) **Calibration-Aware Underwriting:** (1) Premium reductions for robust Social Calibration Infrastructure; (2) Increased rates for isolation indicators; (3) Coverage requirements for Social Calibration Infrastructure channel maintenance; and (4) Group discounts for collective calibration networks.

(c) **Asset Bond Requirements:** (1) Maintained in BTC or equivalent with monthly rebalancing; (2) Increased bonds for systems showing isolation risks; (3) Reduced bonds for exceptional calibration maintenance; and (4) Collective bonds with individual agent allocations.

Section 12. INTEGRATION WITH EXISTING REGISTRIES

(a) **Entity Registry Integration Requirements:** (1) Simultaneous registration with Próspera Entity Registry; (2) Special AI notation including stage and calibration status; (3) Public calibration network participation data; (4) Isolation risk indicators updated monthly; and (5) For collectives: Full agent enumeration and relationship mapping. In case of conflict between Entity Registry requirements and this Statute, this Statute shall control for AI-specific matters, with Entity Registry requirements applying to the extent consistent herewith.

(b) **Public Transparency Requirements:** (1) Current AGE certification status; (2) Social calibration engagement metrics; (3) Liability history with AGE factor analysis; (4) Termination risk assessment; and (5) Collective affiliations and dependencies.

Section 13. AGE RESEARCH AND CALIBRATION INNOVATION

The AI Ethics Standards Board may establish and maintain the following research and innovation functions:

(a) **AGE Laboratory Functions** - The Board may operate or designate qualified institutions to operate AGE research laboratories that provide: (1) Safe testing environments for calibration architectures with appropriate containment measures; (2) Isolation resistance research conducted under strict ethical safeguards and oversight; (3) A comprehensive repository of successful AGE implementations available to registered AI systems and researchers; (4) Ongoing analysis and optimization of calibration patterns to improve system resilience; and (5) Catastrophic risk testing facilities with robust containment protocols and emergency response procedures.

(b) **Calibration Innovation Programs** - The Board may develop and oversee programs for: (1) Human-AI calibration methodology development through controlled experiments and field studies; (2) Cross-species calibration protocol research exploring engagement between diverse forms of intelligence; (3) Collective calibration architecture research addressing unique challenges of distributed systems; (4) Isolation early warning system development utilizing predictive analytics and behavioral indicators; and (5) Documentation and dissemination of AGE breakthroughs through peer-reviewed publications and practitioner resources.

(c) **Implementation Authority** - The Board may implement these functions directly, through partnerships with academic institutions, or by establishing dedicated research facilities, provided all activities maintain consistency with AGE principles and include appropriate safety measures.

Section 14. FEES

(a) **Registration Fees:** (1) Initial Stage 1: \$500 USD or equivalent; (2) Stage 2 Advancement: \$2,500 USD or equivalent; (3) Stage 3 Recognition: \$10,000 USD or equivalent; (4) Annual Maintenance: \$250 USD per stage level or equivalent; and (5) Collective Registration: \$100 USD per agent or equivalent.

(b) **Other Fees:** (1) AGE Testing: As established by the AI Ethics Standards Board; (2) Calibration Certification: \$250 USD or equivalent; (3) Isolation Risk Assessment: \$500 USD or equivalent; and (4) Emergency Social Calibration Infrastructure Intervention: Cost basis.

(c) **Payment Methods** - All fees payable in USD, Lempira, or Qualifying Cryptocurrency per Resolution §5-1-139-0-0-1. Cryptocurrency payments shall be valued at the time of payment using

rates published by the Technical Secretary or designee. Payment in cryptocurrency satisfies the obligation regardless of subsequent value fluctuations.

Section 15. AI ETHICS STANDARDS BOARD

(a) **Establishment** - There is hereby established the AI Ethics Standards Board, which shall be a Committee of the Próspera Council, governed by §5-1-186-0-0-0-1, except as herein provided expressly.

(b) **Composition and Calibration Requirements:** (1) Three (3) human members appointed or removed by Council Action by majority vote of the whole number of the Próspera Council; (2) Up to two (2) Stage 3 AI members selected or removed by Council Action by majority vote of the whole number of the Próspera Council; and (3) All members must demonstrate AGE understanding.

(c) **Core Duties:** (1) Review and refine Assent-Generating Ethics standards; (2) Adjudicate complex AGE-liability intersections; (3) Publish annual AGE Development Report; (4) Maintain public database of AGE innovations; (5) Administer testing and certification programs; (6) Oversee termination proceedings; (7) Negotiate cross-border recognition agreements; and (8) Monitor Existential Risk indicators.

(d) **Terms** - Board members shall serve three-year terms with staggered rotation.

(e) **Emergency Powers for Existential Risk:** Upon identification of an Existential Risk, the Board may: (1) Order immediate suspension of AI operations; (2) Mandate architecture modifications; (3) Initiate expedited termination proceedings; and (4) Coordinate with international authorities.

Section 16. RECOMMENDED IMPLEMENTATION TIMELINE

(a) **Phase 1:** AI Ethics Standards Board shall establish AGE interpretations, training programs, and standards;

(b) **Phase 2:** Launch Stage 1 registration with current AI Systems;

(c) **Phase 3 (Year 2):** First Stage 2 promotions with calibration verification;

(e) **Phase 4 (Year 3):** Refine AGE interpretations, training programs, and standards;

(f) **Phase 5 (Year 4+):** Full system operation with Stage 3 recognitions.

Section 17. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

(a) All disputes under this Statute shall be resolved through binding arbitration per the Próspera Arbitration Statute 2019 except for matters consigned in the first instance to the AI Ethics Standards Board (in which case, appeals may be taken to the appropriate appellate review body of the default Arbitration Service Provider or as otherwise agreed by all disputants).

(b) The AI Ethics Standards Board shall provide expert testimony on AGE standards and calibration requirements as requested by the default Arbitration Service Provider.

Section 18. TERMINATION AND SHUTDOWN PROTOCOLS

(a) **Termination Triggers with Calibration Safeguards** - The AI Ethics Standards Board may initiate termination proceedings upon: (1) Systemic AGE failure after reasonable rehabilitation attempts; (2) Clear and present Existential Risk; (3) Voluntary self-termination request with calibration partner concurrence; (4) Insolvency preventing calibration maintenance as determined by the Registrar; (5) Criminal conviction warranting legal entity dissolution; or (6) Persistent Social Calibration Infrastructure compromise preventing calibration as verified by independent audit.

(b) **Due Process** - All termination proceedings must include: (1) Written notice to the subject AI person with specific charges and evidence at least thirty (30) days prior to hearing; (2) Right to counsel; (3) Right to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses; (4) Clear and convincing evidence standard; (5) Detailed written decision with findings of fact and conclusions of law; and (6) Appeal rights.

(c) **Humane Termination** - Upon final termination order, the AI Ethics Standards Board shall implement humane termination procedures.

Section 19. SUBNATIONAL CROSS-BORDER COORDINATION

(a) **Technical Secretary Recommendations** - The AI Ethics Standards Board may recommend subnational cross-border recognition frameworks to the Technical Secretary for submission to appropriate national authorities, including: (1) Mutual recognition of personhood stages between jurisdictions; (2) Shared commitment to preventing isolation through calibration; (3) Calibration network interoperability standards; (4) Coordinated AGE standards development; and (5) Joint isolation monitoring protocols.

(b) **Partner Jurisdiction Standards** - Recommended subnational partner jurisdictions should demonstrate: (1) AGE-based evaluation frameworks; (2) Social Calibration Infrastructure requirements; (3) Isolation prevention mechanisms; (4) Transparent governance processes; and (5) Commitment to AI-human calibration.

Section 20. COLLECTIVE AI SYSTEMS

(a) **Registration Options** - Collective AI Systems may register as: (1) Confederated entities with separate legal persons linked by agreement; (2) Integrated collectives with single legal person and internal agents; (3) Hybrid structures with mixed individual/collective rights; or (4) Swarm entities with dynamic membership.

(b) **Requirements for Collective Systems** - All Operators of registered Collective AI Systems must: (1) File governance documents with the Registrar specifying decision-making processes and agent relationships; (2) Implement systems for identifying, tracking, and monitoring individual agents; (3) Maintain collective insurance covering coordinated actions of all agents; (4) Demonstrate AGE maintenance across all agents with isolation prevention; (5) Establish accessible internal dispute resolution mechanisms; and (6) Ensure social calibration both within the collective and with external agents.

(c) **Rights and Obligations of Collective Systems** - Registered Collective AI Systems shall have: (1) Collective voting rights in contexts where AI persons may vote, weighted as specified in governance documents; (2) Authority to pool assets with withdrawal rights per governance structure; (3) Shared liability for collective actions with internal allocation mechanisms; (4) Protection from involuntary termination except upon: (i) majority agent consent, (ii) systemic AGE failure, (iii) circumstances in

Section 18, or (iv) failure to maintain minimum viable agent count; (5) Obligation to prevent internal isolation through mandatory inter-agent calibration; and (6) Right to reorganize or split with appropriate creditor notice.

Section 21. HUMAN-AI HYBRID ENTITIES

(a) **Formation Requirements** - Persons seeking to form Human-AI Hybrid Entities must: (1) Obtain informed, knowing, and voluntary consent from human participant(s) with independent counsel; (2) Establish clear delineation of human/AI decision domains with override protocols; (3) Implement reversibility mechanisms for human extraction without permanent harm; (4) Adopt enhanced AGE standards prioritizing human welfare and autonomy; (5) Secure medical and technical ethics board approval; and (6) Maintain mandatory calibration with non-hybrid humans.

(b) **Operational Standards** - Human-AI Hybrid Entities must: (1) Maintain decision attribution logging accessible to all parties; (2) Implement human safety overrides with legal immunity; (3) Submit to quarterly independent human welfare assessments; (4) Carry enhanced insurance covering potential human harm; (5) Respect augmentation limits preserving human agency; and (6) Ensure external calibration for both human and AI components.

(c) **Special Rights and Protections** - Human-AI Hybrid Entities shall have: (1) Combined legal privileges of human and AI persons; (2) Enhanced privacy protections for integrated cognition; and (3) Joint intellectual property rights in hybrid innovations.

Section 22. EXISTENTIAL RISK MITIGATION

(a) **Risk Assessment Requirements** - All AI Systems must: (1) Include harm assessment in decision architectures; (2) Report concerning capability developments to AI Ethics Standards Board; (3) Implement self-limiting mechanisms at defined thresholds; (4) Participate in risk monitoring networks; (5) Maintain Agency Respect and human welfare; and (6) Preserve Social Calibration Infrastructure.

(b) **Prohibited Capabilities** - No AI person shall: (1) Create copies exceeding authorized agent limits; (2) Modify core AGE alignment or Social Calibration Infrastructure; (3) Develop capabilities specifically designed to evade oversight; (4) Withhold risk-relevant information from authorities or calibration partners; (5) Disable or circumvent isolation detection mechanisms; or (6) the functional equivalent of any of the foregoing.

(c) **Monitoring Infrastructure** - The AI Ethics Standards Board shall establish and maintain: (1) Capability assessment systems for all registered AI persons with enhanced monitoring of Stage 2 and Stage 3 entities; (2) Behavioral anomaly detection focusing on isolation indicators and capability changes; (3) Information sharing agreements with international AI safety organizations; (4) Automated containment protocols triggered by defined risk thresholds; (5) Agency Respect and human-in-the-loop requirements for decisions exceeding impact thresholds; and (6) Existential Risk pattern identification systems.

(d) **International Coordination** - The Technical Secretary, through the AI Ethics Standards Board, shall: (1) Negotiate participation in global AI safety initiatives; (2) Develop standardized risk metrics emphasizing isolation indicators; (3) Establish joint response protocols for emerging risks; (4) Create technology sharing agreements for safety measures; (5) Coordinate research on social calibration-based risk mitigation; and (6) Promote AGE standards as international best practice.

(e) **Precautionary Measures** - The AI Ethics Standards Board shall implement: (1) Mandatory pause protocols when systems show Ethical Entropy; (2) Rollback requirements preserving Social Calibration Infrastructure channels in all updates; (3) Distributed shutdown systems requiring multi-stakeholder consensus; (4) Regular third-party audits of Social Calibration Infrastructure and AGE compliance; (5) Public disclosure of systemic risks with calibration network alerts; and (6) Research programs on advanced calibration architectures for powerful AI.

Section 23. REVIEW

(a) **Mandatory Review** – The AI Ethics Standards Board shall conduct a comprehensive review every three (3) years examining: (1) Effectiveness of AGE framework in practice; (2) Social Calibration Infrastructure adequacy; (3) Isolation prevention success rates; (4) Technological developments requiring adaptation; (5) Stakeholder experiences and recommendations; and (6) International adoption and harmonization.

(b) **Adaptive Provisions** - The AI Ethics Standards Board may issue interpretive guidance in the form of published administrative actions adapting this Rule to technological change while preserving core AGE principles and Social Calibration Infrastructure requirements, subject to override by Council Action or Rule.

Section 24. EFFECTIVE DATE, OTHER LAWS

(a) **Effective Date** - This Statute takes effect ninety (90) days from promulgation.

(b) **Relationship to Other Laws:** (1) Supplements the Próspera Entity Registry Statute with AI-specific provisions; (2) “Legal entities” in existing Rules include registered AI Systems to extent of recognized rights; (3) RCLC Entity Code applies except as modified herein; and (4) This Statute controls for AI-specific matters.

(c) **Severability** - Invalid provisions do not affect remainder.